

## REMARKS

This paper is in response to the Office Action dated March 9, 2006. In view of the accompanying documents for the correction of inventorship and in further view of the following comments, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application.

### Correction of Inventorship

This application was originally filed, naming Jung-Keun Park as the sole inventor. It has been discovered that Joong-Hoo Park was a co-inventor for the claimed subject matter of this application but was not named as a co-inventor in this application. To correct this error in the inventorship, Applicants file a Request To Correct Inventorship under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48 along with this paper. The Request accompanies the required documents set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.48, which are: 1) Statement from Joong-Hoo Park that the error in the inventorship occurred without any deceptive intention on his part, 2) Declaration under 37 C.F.R. § 1.63 by both inventors, Jung-Keun Park and Joong-Hoo Park, 3) the processing fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i), and 4) Written Consent of the assignee, Pantech Co., Ltd. Upon acceptance of this request, Jung-Keun Park and Joong-Hoo Park are the co-inventors of this application.

### Discussion of Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by "A Channel Estimation Technique for WCDMA Systems", IEICE TRANS. COMMUN. Vol. E86-B, No.4 April 2003. This reference was authored by Jung-Keun Park and Joong-Hoo Park, who are the co-inventors of this application as discussed above. Given that the inventors of this application are identical to the authors of the cited reference, to the extent this reference discloses the claimed invention of this application, it discloses the invention of the present inventors. As such, the claimed invention could not have been made after publication of this reference. Therefore, the cited reference does not qualify prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a). In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection.

Appl. No. : 10/737,223  
Filed : December 16, 2003

### CONCLUSION

The Applicants have endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Office Action. In light of the above remarks, this application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions which may be answered by telephone, he is invited to call the undersigned directly.


Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

9/6/06

By: \_\_\_\_\_



Mincheol Kim  
Registration No. 51,306  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404

2874820\_1  
083006